Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/660,139	HSU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leon Y. Lum	1641	
All Participants:	cipants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Leon Y. Lum</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Jiawei Huang</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 31 July 2006	Time: ~11:00 am EST		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	☐ Applicant's representative) No		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: N/A			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		•	
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT \	WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The examiner will provide a vector of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview	
\mathcal{M}			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (olicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In response to the Examiner's inquiry on the application's status, Applicants' representative left a message on the Examiner's voicemail stating that a reply to the Office Action mailed January 24, 2006 has not been sent and that the application can be abandoned..